

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,864	KELLY, CASEY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Robert P. Swiatek. (3) ____.

(2) Ms. Julie A. Hopper. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 5 June 2006

Time: __

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1-3, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Porteous et al. (US 6,009,952); Rovelli (US 6,505,686)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative authorized cancelation of claim 14 and changes to claims 1-3 to further define them over the prior art. See examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)